

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/863,074	05/21/2001	Brian O'Holloran	CMI 304B	7603
7	7590 02/18/2003			
KOLISCH HARTWELL DICKINSON McCORMACK & HEUSER Suite 200 520 S.W. Yamhill Street Portland, OR 97204			EXAMINER	
			DESANTO, MATTHEW F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>. 46</u> .		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•		09/863,074	O'HOLLORAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Matthew F DeSanto	3763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
THE I - External form of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M	/av 2001				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M	<del>-</del>				
2a)[_	,—	is action is non-final.	tors presequition as to the morite is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
· -	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) $1-27$ are subject to restriction and/or $6$	election requirement.				
	ion Papers	<u>.</u>				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Ap	oplication No			
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
	)  The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti					
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Ir	iummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/863,074

Art Unit: 3763

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-5, and 18-26 drawn to surgical needle, classified in class 604, subclass 264.
  - II. Claims 6-17 are drawn to a lock, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
  - III. Claim 27 is drawn to maneuverable needle, classified in class 606, subclass 167.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require a spring to hold the locking surfaces. The subcombination has separate utility such as being used to biases a retractable syringe.
- 3. Inventions III and I & II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In

Application/Control Number: 09/863,074 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

the instant case Invention I deals with a surgical needle with an outer tube and a lock

mounted on the outer tube, and Invention II deals with the lock mechanism and

Invention III deals with a maneuverable needle with a delivery tube, a grip and a visual

indicator. Invention I & II work in different modes of operation as well as function and

effect as invention III because Invention I & II deal with locking a tube with respects to

another tube or needle while Invention III deals with visually indicating the orientation of

a maneuverable needle.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have

acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject

matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

PLEASE FURTHER ELECT ONE OF THE FOLOWING SPECIES

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct 5.

species of the claimed invention:

Species A: Figure 2

Species B: Figure 15

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no allowable and generic claims.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification

of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Application/Control Number: 09/863,074

Art Unit: 3763

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to David Cooper on 2/06/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 09/863,074

Art Unit: 3763

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew F DeSanto whose telephone number is 1-703-305-3292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 1-703-308-3552. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 1-703-872-9302 for regular communications and 1-703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 1-703-308-0858.

Plott Lb

Matthew DeSanto Art Unit 3763 February 12, 2003 BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 8700